

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,273		09/26/2005	Gopalan Balasubramanian	04654/0200039-US0	8530
7278	7590	08/21/2006		EXAMINER	
DARBY &		Y P.C.		CHU, YONG LIANG	
P. O. BOX 5 NEW YORK		0150-5257		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				1626	
				DATE MAILED: 08/21/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/532,273	BALASUBRAMANIAN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Yong Chu	1626	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHO WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the rid patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tatute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	·			
2a) ☐ 3) ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3 This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for allocated in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. owance except for formal ma		
Disposition	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-21, 60-63, and 65-95 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) 60-63,65-85 and Claim(s) 86-89 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-21 and 90-94 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	95 is/are withdrawn from co	nsideration.	
Application	on Papers			
10) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by th	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey prection is required if the drawing	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docunt Certified copies of the priority docunt Some Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But ee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have be ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment	e(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	Δ\ □ Intensio	w Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (P10-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SI No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/20/05,6/28/05</u> , 3/17/06.	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date If Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 22-59 and 64 are cancelled by amendment filed on 26 September 2005. Claims 64 and 74-95 are added by amendment filed on 26 September 2005. Claims 1, 2, 7-9, 16-18, 20, 60-63, 65, 66, and 68-73 are amended by amendment filed on 26 September 2005. Therefore, claims 1-21, 60-63, and 65-95 are currently pending in the instant application.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant's Information Disclosure Statements, filed on 20 April 2005, 28 June 2005 and 17 March 2006 have been considered. Please refer to Applicant's copies of the PTO-1449 submitted herewith.

Priority

This application is a 371 of PCT/IB03/04442 filed on 8 October 2003, and claims the benefit of India Provisional Patent Application 922/MUM/2002, filed on 23 October 2002.

Response to Lack of Unity

The response to the restriction request with provisionally election of Group I (claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 (in part), wherein Y is –C(O)NR4, X is O or S(O)m and the remaining substituents are as depicted in claim 1) with traverse by Applicants' representative, Jay P. Lessler dated on 30 June 2006, has been considered. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the structural components of the compounds in Group I are the same as that in Group V, with the exception of X, which is –NR5 in

Application/Control Number: 10/532,273

Art Unit: 1626

Group V, and O or S(O)m in Group I. This is not found persuasive because the core structures of the compounds in Group I and Group V are different as stated in the Remark. The core structure of the compounds in Group I is a dibenzo[b,d]furan group, and the core structure of the compounds in Group V is a carbazole group. In addition, Groups I and V lack a special technical feature because they fail to define a contribution over O'Brien (WO 98/09934), and Shen (U.S. Patent 3,759,948), because both the prior arts disclose dibenzo[b,d]furan as a special technical feature. Therefore, the requirement is still deemed proper.

Status of the Claims

During a telephone conversation with Applicants' representative, Attorney Jay P. Lessler dated on 17 August 2006, Applicants agree to withdraw the method claims 60-63, and 65-85 from Group I. Rejoin of product claims with process claims commensurate in scope with the allowed product claims will occur following a finding that the product claims are allowable, if the process claims satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C §112 first and second paragraph.

Claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 (in part) and 95 are further withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner as being drawn to non-elected inventions under 37 CFR 1.142(b). The withdrawn subject matter is patentably distinct from the elected subject matter as it differs in structure and element and would require separate search considerations. In addition, a reference that anticipates one invention would not render obvious the other invention.

Art Unit: 1626

Elected and Examined Subject Matter

The scope of the invention of the elected subject matter and the examined subject matter is as follows:

$$(R^3)_m$$

$$(R^4)_n$$

$$R^1$$
, wherein:

Compounds of Formula (I)

X is oxygen or $S(O)_m$, wherein **m** is 0, 1, or 2;

Y is -C(O)NR⁴, wherein R⁴ is defined in claim 1; and the remaining substituents are defined in claim 1; or its analog, tautomer, regioisomer, stereoisomer, enantiomer, diastereomer, polymorph, pharmaceutically acceptable salt, N-oxide, or pharmaceutically acceptable solvate thereof.

Non-elected and Non-examined Subject Matter

The scope of the invention of the non-elected and non-examined subject matter is as follows:

$$(R^3)_m$$

$$(R^4)_n$$

$$X$$

$$R^2$$

Compounds of Formula (I)

, wherein:

X is NR⁵, wherein R⁵ is defined in claim 1;

Application/Control Number: 10/532,273

Art Unit: 1626

Y is $-NR^4SO_2$, $-SO_2NR^4$ or $-NR^4C(O)$, wherein R^4 is defined in claim 1; and the remaining substituents are defined in claim 1; or its analog, tautomer, regioisomer, steroisomer, enantiomer, diastereomer, polymorph, pharmaceutically acceptable salt, N-oxide, or pharmaceutically acceptable solvate thereof.

As a result of the election and the corresponding scope of the invention identified supra, claims 1-21, 60-63 and 65-94 (in part) are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142 (b) as being drawn to non-elected inventions. The withdrawn compounds contain varying functional groups which are chemically recognized to differe in structure, function, and reactivity.

Claims 1-21, and 86-94 are ready for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-21, and 90-94 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 1-21, and 90-94 are rejected due to claiming polymorph of a compound of Formula (I). By definition, polymorph is a specific crystalline form of a compound that can crystallize in different forms. The specification does not reasonably

provide enablement for forming crystalline of each of the compound list in the claims. It is well known to one skilled in the art that not all compounds can form crystalline.

Because of high level of unpredictability associated with crystalline of the compounds, a greater amount of evidentiary support is needed to fully satisfy the requirement of 35 U.S.C 112, first paragraph. It is noted that crystallization art is unpredictable, requiring each embodiment to be individually assessed for the possibility.

A disclosure should contain representative examples, which provide reasonable assurance to one skilled in the art that compounds fall within the scope of a claim will posses the crystalline form of the compound. To practice the claimed invention herein, a person of skill in the art would have to engage in undue experimentation to test which compounds would form crystalline, with no assurance of success.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The definition of R^1 is defined by $-C(O)-R^1$, $-S(O)m-R^1$, ..., which is indefinite.

Conclusion

Claims 86-89 are allowed.

Claims 1-21, and 90-94 are rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,273 Page 7

Art Unit: 1626

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Yong Chu whose telephone number is 571-272-5759.

The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 3:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph K. MºKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yong Chu, Ph.D.

Patent Examine Art Unit 1626 Joseph K McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626